1	wo
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8	
9	Ricky Lee Jarrett, ) No. CV-13-0440-PHX-JAT (DKD)
10	Plaintiff,
11	vs. ORDER
12	Joseph M. Arpaio, et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (Doc. 19).
16	Plaintiff's first request for the appointment of counsel was denied on June 6, 2013 (Doc. 8). As
17	previously stated, there is no constitutional right to appointment of counsel in a civil case. See
18	Johnson v. Dep't of Treasury, 939 F.2d 820, 824 (9th Cir. 1991). Appointment of counsel in a
19	civil rights case is required only when exceptional circumstances are present. Terrell v. Brewer,
20	935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991) (citing Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir.
21	1986)). Plaintiff has again failed to demonstrated a likelihood of success on the merits, and has
22	not shown that he is experiencing difficulty in litigating this case because of the complexity of
23	the issues involved. Accordingly,
24	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED denying Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel
25	(Doc. 19).
26	DATED this 14 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2013.
27	
28	David K. Duncan
	United States Magistrate Judge